

Linear Programming

Copyright ©Mathwrist LLC 2023

January 1, 2023

Linear Programming

- Canonical form,

$$\min_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n} \mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{x}, \text{ s.t. } \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b} \text{ and } \mathbf{x} \geq 0 \quad (1)$$

\mathbf{A} is $m \times n$, $m < n$.

- Practically, a more general formulation

$$\min_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n} \mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{x}, \text{ s.t. } \mathbf{b}_l \leq \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{b}_u \text{ and } \mathbf{l} \leq \mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{u} \quad (2)$$

- $\mathbf{b}_l \leq \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{b}_u$ are general linear constraints.
- $\mathbf{l} \leq \mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{u}$ are simple bound constraints.

Simplex Method: General Setup

- introduce slack and surplus variables to convert the general form (2) to canonical form (1)
- maintain index set \mathcal{B} for m number of basic variables \mathbf{x}_B and index set \mathcal{N} for $n - m$ number of non-basis variables \mathbf{x}_N
- re-arrange (conceptually) the order of all variables such that $\mathbf{Ax} = \mathbf{b}$ becomes,

$$\left(\mathbf{B} \mid \mathbf{N} \right) \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{x}_B \\ \mathbf{x}_N \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{b}$$

- if \mathbf{B} is nonsingular, fix $\mathbf{x}_N = 0$ and solve $\mathbf{Bx}_B = \mathbf{b}$ for a basic feasible solution.

Simplex Method: Step Update

- optimality conditions at iteration k :

$$\mathbf{B}_k^T \lambda_B = \mathbf{c}_B \quad (3)$$

$$\mathbf{N}_k^T \lambda_B + \lambda_N = \mathbf{c}_N \quad (4)$$

$$\lambda_N \geq 0 \quad (5)$$

- if $\exists q \in \mathcal{N}$ such that $\lambda_q < 0$, then there is a feasible descent direction $\mathbf{r} = -\mathbf{B}_k^{-1} \mathbf{A}_q$, where \mathbf{A}_q is the q -th column of \mathbf{A} .
- moving along \mathbf{r} , \mathbf{x}_q becomes positive hence q enters the set \mathcal{B}
- meanwhile a basic variable \mathbf{x}_p hits 0 first, hence p quits the set \mathcal{B} and joins to \mathcal{N} .
- pivot operation (p, q) updates \mathbf{B}_{k+1} and \mathbf{N}_{k+1} to start the iteration $k+1$

Simplex Method: Performance Efficiency

- need repeatedly solve equation (3).
- maintain LU factorization $\mathbf{B}_k = \mathbf{L}_k \mathbf{U}_k$
- fast **LU** update to obtain $\mathbf{B}_{k+1} = \mathbf{L}_{k+1} \mathbf{U}_{k+1}$ after the pivot
- steepest edge method to select the enter index q .

Simplex Method: Initial Feasible Point

- introduce artificial variables \mathbf{z} for all violated constraints.
- solve a phase-I feasibility problem,

$$\min_{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}} \mathbf{e}^T \mathbf{z}, \text{ s.t. } \mathbf{Ax} \pm \mathbf{z} = \mathbf{b}$$
$$\mathbf{x} \geq 0,$$
$$\mathbf{z} \geq 0$$

- pivoting \mathbf{z} first, once \mathbf{z} quits from \mathcal{B} , it never enters \mathcal{B} again.

Active Set Method: General Setup

- directly work on the general form (2)
- maintain an index set \mathcal{W} of working constraints and the complement index set \mathcal{N} for non-working constraints.
- working constraints $\mathbf{A}_{\mathcal{W}}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}_{\mathcal{W}}$, where $\mathbf{b}_{\mathcal{W}}$ are the active bounds of these constraints.
- partition \mathbf{x} to the set b of fixed variables \mathbf{x}_b (equal to their bounds) and the complement set f of free variables \mathbf{x}_f
- rearrange (conceptually) the order of variables such that $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}$ becomes to

$$(\mathbf{B} \mid \mathbf{F}) \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{x}_b \\ \mathbf{x}_f \end{pmatrix}$$

Active Set Method: Search Direction \mathbf{p}

- \mathbf{x}_b is not changed along \mathbf{p} , i.e. $\mathbf{p} = (0, \mathbf{p}_f)$
- looking for a null space direction wrt $\mathbf{F}_{\mathcal{W}}^T$, such that $\mathbf{F}_{\mathcal{W}}\mathbf{p}_f = 0$
- moving along \mathbf{p} does not change the value of working constraints, $\mathbf{A}_{\mathcal{W}}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}_{\mathcal{W}}$ still holds.
- find step length α along \mathbf{p} to hit the first blocking constraint,
 - simple bound $j \in f$ is hit, add j to set b , \mathbf{x}_j then becomes a fixed variable.
 - general constraint $i \in \mathcal{N}$ is hit, add i to set \mathcal{W} , \mathbf{A}_i then becomes a working constraint.
 - there is no blocking constraint, declared the LP problem has unbounded solution.
- update $\mathbf{x}_{k+1} = \mathbf{x}_k + \alpha\mathbf{p}$ and relevant index sets.

Active Set Method: Optimality Conditions

- stationary conditions:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{I} & \mathbf{B}_{\mathcal{W}}^T \\ & \mathbf{F}_{\mathcal{W}}^T \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_b \\ \lambda_{\mathcal{W}} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{c}_b \\ \mathbf{c}_f \end{pmatrix}$$

- λ_b are the Lagrange multipliers of simple bound constraints of \mathbf{x}_b .
- $\lambda_{\mathcal{W}}$ are the Lagrange multipliers of general working constraints $\mathbf{A}_{\mathcal{W}}$.
- for iteration at \mathbf{x}_k to be optimal, Lagrange multipliers need be ≥ 0 for lower bounded constraints, ≤ 0 for upper bounded ones.
- otherwise, found the “worst” Lagrange multiplier,
 - for general constraint index $i \in \mathcal{W}$, if λ_i is the worst, move i from \mathcal{W} to \mathcal{N} .
 - for simple bound index $j \in b$, if λ_j is the worst, move j from b to set f .
 - update the relevant index sets and start the $k + 1$ iteration.

Active Set Method: QR Update

- maintain **QR** factorization

$$\mathbf{F}_{\mathcal{W}}^T = \underbrace{(\mathbf{Y} \mid \mathbf{Z})}_{\mathbf{Q}} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{R} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

- seek a descent null space direction $\mathbf{p}_f = -\mathbf{ZZ}^T \mathbf{c}_f$, \mathbf{c}_f is the sub cost vector for free variables.
- $\mathbf{F}_{\mathcal{W}}$ changes whenever the working set \mathcal{W} or the set b of fixed variables changes, economically update the **QR** factors, not refactoring.

Active Set Method: Initial Working Set and Feasible Point

- given initial guess of \mathbf{x} , take slightly violated constraints to form the initial index sets \mathcal{W} and b .
- solve \mathbf{x}_f to satisfy $\mathbf{F}_{\mathcal{W}}\mathbf{x}_f = \mathbf{b}_{\mathcal{W}} - \mathbf{B}_{\mathcal{W}}\mathbf{x}_b$
- introduce artificial variables \mathbf{y} and \mathbf{z} and solve an active-set phase-I feasibility subproblem,

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}} \mathbf{e}^T \mathbf{y} + \mathbf{e}^T \mathbf{z}, \quad \text{s.t.} \quad & \mathbf{b}_l \leq \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} \pm \mathbf{z} \leq \mathbf{b}_u \\ & \mathbf{l} \leq \mathbf{x} \pm \mathbf{y} \leq \mathbf{u}, \\ & \mathbf{y} \geq \mathbf{0} \\ & \mathbf{z} \geq \mathbf{0} \end{aligned}$$

References I

- [1] David G. Luenberger: Linear and Nonlinear Programming, 2nd edition, Springer 2003
- [2] Jorge Nocedal and Stephen J. Wright: Numerical Optimization, Springer, 1999
- [3] Philip E. Gill, Walter Murray and Margaret H. Wright: Practical Optimization, Academic Press, 1981
- [4] J.J. Forrest and J. A. Tomlin: Updated Triangular Factors of the Basis to Maintain Sparsity in the Product from Simplex Method, Mathematical Programming 2(1972) 263-278